# CORRECTION Open Access



# Correction: Porcine Functional Spine Unit in orthopedic research, a systematic scoping review of the methodology

Jacob Hedlund<sup>1</sup>, Lars Ekström<sup>2</sup> and Olof Thoreson<sup>1,3\*</sup>

Correction: J Exp Ortop 9, 54 (2022) https://doi.org/10.1186/s40634-022-00488-6

In the original publication of this article [1], the abstract was missing.

# Absract Purpose

The aim of this study was to conduct a systematic scoping review of previous in vitro spine studies that used pig functional spinal units (FSU) as a model to gain an understanding of how different experimental methods are presented in the literature. Research guidelines are often used to achieve high quality in methods, results, and reports, but no research guidelines are available regarding in vitro biomechanical spinal studies.

### Methods

A systematic scoping review approach and protocol was used for the study with a systematic search in several data bases combined with an extra author search. The articles were examined in multiple stages by two different authors in a blinded manner. Data was extracted from the included articles and inserted into a previously crafted matrix with multiple variables. The data was analyzed to evaluate study methods and quality and included 70 studies.

The original article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1186/s40634-022-00488-6

\*Correspondence: olof.thoreson@gu.se

<sup>1</sup> Department of Orthopedics, Institute of Clinical Sciences at Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

#### Results

The results display that there is a lack of consensus regarding how the material, methods and results are presented. Load type, duration and magnitude were heterogeneous among the studies, but sixty-seven studies (96%) did include compressive load or tension in the testing protocol.

#### **Conclusions**

This study concludes that an improvement of reported data in the present field of research is needed. A protocol, modified from the ARRIVE guidelines, regarding enhanced report-structure, that would enable comparison between studies and improve the method quality is presented in the current study. There is also a clear need for a validated quality-assessment template for experimental animal studies.

The original article [1] has been corrected.

## **Author details**

<sup>1</sup>Department of Orthopedics, Institute of Clinical Sciences at Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden. <sup>2</sup>Orthopaedic Research Unit, Sahlgrenska University Hospital, Mölndal, Sweden. <sup>3</sup>Research and Development Primary Health Care, R&D Centre Gothenburg and Södra Bohuslän, Gothenburg, Sweden.

Published online: 18 July 2022

#### Reference

 Hedlund J, Ekström L, Thoreson O (2022) Porcine Functional Spine Unit in orthopedic research, a systematic scoping review of the methodology. J Exp Ortop 9:54. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40634-022-00488-6.



© The Author(s) 2022. **Open Access** This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/.